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## Objective:

Normal spermatogenesis produces equal frequencies of male and female sperm ${ }^{(1)}$. Couples using in vitro fertilization (IVF) and preimplantation genetic screening (PGS) for gender selection have expressed concern that their embryos may have a gender bias. The study aims to identity whether clinical data support the existence of predominantly male or female embryo-producing couples.

## Design:

Retrospective cohort study

## Materials and Methods:

IVF couples ( $\mathrm{n}=116$ ) treated between February, 2006 -November, 2014 who had $\geq 10$ embryos (range 10-34) screened by PGS were analyzed by a two-sided binomial test to calculate the probability ( p ) of a comparably or more extreme embryo gender imbalance due to chance. The male to female embryo ratio was assumed to be 1:1. P-values were adjusted for the false discovery rate (FDR) by the Benjamini-Hochberg method with significance at $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

## Results:

The study involved 1578 embryos ( 787 male and 791 female). Four of 116 couples produced embryos with a gender imbalance with an unadjusted $\mathrm{p}<0.05$, all of which were malepredominant (See Table). Six couples (5\%*116) were predicted to produce genderimbalanced embryos by chance. After adjusting for FDR, none of these 4 couples met statistical significance.
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## Table:

|  | Number of Embryos |  |  |  | Adj. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Couple | Male | Female | Total | p | p |
| $A$ | 12 | 3 | 15 | 0.035 | 1 |
| $B$ | 15 | 5 | 20 | 0.041 | 1 |
| $C$ | 13 | 3 | 16 | 0.021 | 1 |
| $D$ | 9 | 1 | 10 | 0.021 | 1 |

## Conclusions:

Although rare causes of embryo gender biases may exist, couples producing embryos in this study did not display gender imbalance. The gender balance of euploid embryos is consistent with that expected from normal spermatogenesis. Couples not attaining a desired gender for their embryo(s) can be counseled that their experience is a result of random chance.

## Support:

None
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