





## American Society for Reproductive Medicine 2017 Scientific Congress & Expo October 28 to November 1, 2017 • San Antonio, TX, USA

#### **Title:**

ELEVATED BODY MASS INDEX DOES NOT IMPACT THE EFFICACY OF AROMATASE INHIBITORS (AI) FOR OVULATION INDUCTION

#### **Authors:**

L. Sekhon, T Nazem, D Gounko, JA Lee, AB Copperman

### **Affiliations:**

- 1. Reproductive Medicine Associates of New York, 635 Madison Ave 10th Floor New York, New York, United States, 10022
- 2. Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Science, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, Klingenstein Pavilion 1176 Fifth Avenue 9th Floor New York, New York, United States, 10029

<u>Objective:</u> Clomiphene citrate (CC) and letrozole are oral ovulation induction agents with distinct mechanisms of action. We hypothesized that letrozole's blockade of aromatase activity, which is peripherally abundant in adipose tissue, may reduce efficacy in high BMI patients undergoing treatment for anovulation and unexplained infertility. The study sought to compare the efficacy between SERMs and AIs according to BMI.

**Design:** Retrospective cohort study

<u>Materials and Methods:</u> The study included all patients undergoing ovulation induction with CC or letrozole followed by intrauterine insemination from January 2002 to March 2017. Patients with thin mid-cycle endometrium (<7mm) or requiring >5 days of medication to achieve a mature follicle(s) were excluded. Patients were stratified into BMI categories according to WHO criteria







(Table 1). Clinical outcomes included implantation, clinical pregnancy, multiple pregnancy and early pregnancy loss (EPL). Data was analyzed by Student's t-test, chi square, linear and binary logistic regression.

Results: A total of 5990 patients underwent ovulation induction with CC (n=3640) and letrozole (n=2350). Baseline demographics, cycle characteristics and clinical outcomes are shown in Table 1. Across all BMI categories, the CC group achieved significantly more mature follicles at time of hCG trigger. For every 1 kg/m² increase in BMI, there was a significant decrease in the number of mature follicles achieved (CC:  $\beta$ = -0.019, p<0.0001 vs. letrozole:  $\beta$  = -0.013, p<0.0001). Irrespective of the medication used, when controlling for age and ovarian reserve markers, BMI did not significantly modify the odds of implantation (OR 1.03 [95% CI 0.98-1.08], p=0.2), ongoing pregnancy (OR 1.03 [95% CI 0.99-1.08], p=0.2), multiple gestation (OR 0.87 [95% CI 0.6-1.3], p=0.5) or EPL (OR 1.02 [95% CI 0.93-1.1], p=0.7).

<u>Conclusion:</u> Despite the fact that the extraglandular conversion of C19 steroids to estrogen takes place primarily in adipose tissue, letrozole maintains efficacy similar to CC in patients with elevated BMI. Letrozole provides several advantages over CC in that it has less side effects and estrogen antagonism within the endometrium. High BMI patients can be reassured that letrozole is a viable treatment option, as increased adiposity does not appear to antagonize the aromatase blockade to a degree that impacts clinical outcome.

**Support:** None

## Table 1:

Demographics, cycle characteristics and clinical outcome according to oral ovulation induction agent and WHO BMI category

BMI	<18.5		18.5-24.99			25-29.99			≥30			
	Clomid	Letrozole	P	Clomid	Letrozole	P value	Clomid	Letrozole	P value	Clomid	Letrozole	P
			value									value
Number of	123	100		2342	1567		739	463		436	220	
patients												





Icahn
School of
Medicine at
Mount
Sinai

Age	34.1 ±	34.0 ±	NS	$35.5 \pm 4.2$	$35.2 \pm 4.2$	NS	36.2 ±	35.8 ±	NS	36.0 ±	35.9 ±	NS
	4.4	3.9					4.2	4.2		4.6	4.1	
AMH	3.2 ±	$4.2 \pm 5.5$	NS	$3.0 \pm 3.0$	$3.6 \pm 4.1$	0.0031	3.2 ±	$3.5 \pm 6.5$	NS	2.6 ±	$3.3 \pm 4.1$	NS
	2.3						3.1			3.0		
Day 3 FSH	7.7 ±	$7.4 \pm 2.7$	NS	$7.7 \pm 2.9$	$7.5 \pm 2.8$	0.0325	7.5 ±	$7.3 \pm 2.9$	NS	7.0 ±	$7.2 \pm 2.9$	NS
	2.5						3.0			2.6		
Mature	2.0 ±	$1.7 \pm 0.8$	0.0129	$1.9 \pm 0.9$	$1.6 \pm 0.8$	< 0.0001	1.7 ±	$1.5 \pm 0.7$	< 0.0001	1.7 ±	$1.5 \pm 0.7$	0.0014
follicles	0.9						0.8			0.8		
Endometrial	8.3 ±	$8.5 \pm 1.4$	NS	$8.6 \pm 2.3$	$8.7 \pm 1.5$	NS	8.8 ±	$8.9 \pm 1.6$	NS	8.9 ±	$8.9 \pm 1.8$	NS
thickness at	1.2						1.6			4.7		
ovulation												
trigger												
Pregnancy	13.8%	6.0%	NS	11.6%	11.7%	NS	12.9%	11.0%	NS	11.2%	14.1%	NS
rate	(17/123)	(6/100)		(272/2342)	(183/1567)		(95/739)	(51/463)		(49/436)	(31/220)	
Implantation	12.2%	6.0%	NS	9.4%	10.1%	NS	10.3%	8.9%	NS	8.7%	12.7%	NS
rate	(15/123)	(6/100)		(220/2342)	(158/1567)		(76/739)	(41/463)		(38/436)	(28/220)	
Ongoing	11.4%	6.0%	NS	8.3%	8.7%	NS	9.1%	8.2%	NS	7.3%	11.4%	NS
pregnancy	(14/123)	(6/100)		(195/2342)	(137/1567)		(67/739)	(38/463)		(32/436)	(25/220)	
rate												
Multiple	1.6%	0.0%	NS	1.1%	0.7%	NS	12.2%	0.0%	0.017	0.5%	0.5%	NS
pregnancy	(2/123)	(0/100)		(25/2342)	(11/1567)		(9/739)	(0/463)		(2/436)	(1/220)	
rate												
Early	2.4%	0.0%	NS	3.3%	2.9%	NS	3.8%	2.8%	NS	3.9%	2.7%	NS
pregnancy loss	(3/123)	(0/100)		(78/2342)	(46/1567)		(28/739)	(13/463)		(17/436)	(6/220)	







# Table 2:

The impact of BMI on the odds of clinical outcome according to oral ovulation induction agent. Results expressed as adjusted odds ratios.

	Clomiphene citrate	Letrozole
Implantation	OR 1.01 [95% CI 0.97-1.1],	OR 1.03 [95% CI 0.98-1.08], p=0.2
	p=0.7	
Ongoing clinical	OR 1.003 [95% CI 0.96-1.05],	OR 1.03 [95% CI 0.99-1.08], p=0.2
pregnancy	p=0.9	
Multiple gestation	OR 0.92 [95% CI 0.79-1.07],	OR 0.87 [95% CI 0.6-1.3], p=0.5
	p=0.3	
Early pregnancy	OR 1.04 [95% CI 0.94-1.1],	OR 1.02 [95% CI 0.93-1.1], p=0.7
loss	p=0.5	